

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/689,418	10/20/2003	Yen-Jung Hu	251104-1020	2799	
24504 7	1590 12/20/2005		EXAM	INER	
THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP 100 GALLERIA PARKWAY, NW			KIM, S	KIM, SUN U	
STE 1750			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ATLANTA, GA 30339-5948			1723		

DATE MAILED: 12/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/689,418	HU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John Kim	1723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time Till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Oc	etobor 2005					
<u> </u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 October 2005</u> . This action is FINAL 2b) This action is non-final.					
<u>, </u>	,					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 455 C.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 October 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	ammon recta the attached embe	7.0.1017 07.101117 1 0-102.				
		4.13				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
A44.0.h.m.o.u4/0.)	,					
Attachment(s) I) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				
. , ,	,					

- 1. Applicant's election of Group I (claims 1-9) in the reply filed on 10/24/05 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-3 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ogata et al (US Pat. No. 5,503,745). Ogata et al teach a cylindrical or tubular pleated non-woven fabric filter medium having a maximum pore size of 120 microns wound up onto a hollow cylindrical or tubular metal core with large number of holes and a metal end surface-sealing member having an opening part of a diameter of 30 mm at both ends and sealing both end parts of the medium and metal core to inherently leave a hollow space in the hollow metal core (abstract; col. 2, lines 56-67; col. 7, line 62 col. 8, line 15; col. 10, lines 8-32).
- 4. Claims 1-3, 5, 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Olsen (US Pat. No. 6,315,130). Olsen teaches a fluid filter comprising a cylindrical or tubular pleated non-woven fabric filter medium (20) having a pore size of 0.2 to 70 microns supported by an inner cylindrical or tubular pleated diffusion media (24) made of spunbond non-woven polypropylene fabric or a hollow cylindrical or tubular core (12) with openings to permit the

Application/Control Number: 10/689,418

Art Unit: 1723

Page 3

passage of fluid between the outside and the center of the core and the end caps (30) attached to the filter element (10) comprising the filter medium (20) and diffusion media (22, 24) and the core (12) to seal two ends of the filter medium and the core with an epoxy (figure 2; col. 4, lines 21-41; col. 11, line 63 – col. 12, line 54; col. 12, line 55 – col. 13, line18; Table IV (see filter rating); col. 14, lines 28-33; col. 14, line 55 – col. 15, line 16).

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Olsen. Olsen teaches a fluid filter as described in above paragraph 3. Claim 4 essentially differs from the filter of Olsen in reciting that the porous tubular supporting portion has a mean pore size of 100 micron to 3 mm. Olsen teaches that the cylindrical core (12) has openings (26) formed through the core to permit the passage of fluid between the outside and the center of the core wherein these openings (26) are inherently larger than the pore rating of the filter medium (see figure 2; Table IV (see filter rating); col. 14, lines 55-64). It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify the mean pore size of the core (12) of Olsen to 100 micron to 3 mm, since applicant has not disclosed that such claimed range of pore size solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with any mean pore size of the core so long as the mean pore size of the core is larger than the pore size of the filter medium to permit the passage of fluid between the outside and the center of the core.

Application/Control Number: 10/689,418

Art Unit: 1723

7. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Olsen as applied to claim 1 in above paragraph 4, and further in view of Derwent Abstract of Japanese Patent No. 3-169311 (hereinafter referred to as JP '311). Olsen teaches a fluid filter as described in above paragraph 3. Claim 6 essentially differs from the filter of Olsen in reciting that the tubular supporting portion is a porous plastic tube. Olsen teaches that cylindrical core (12) may be of conventional design and may be made of any material having sufficient strength and which is compatible with the fluid being filtered (see col. 14, lines 55-62). JP '311 teaches a filter comprising a non-woven fabric filter layer wound around the periphery of a cylindrical porous core wherein the core is made of polyolefin, nylon or stainless steel (see abstract; figure 1). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the cylindrical core of Olsen with any of known material including plastic e.g. polypropylene for filter support as suggested by JP '311 depending on the desired strength and compatibility with fluid being filtered.

Page 4

8. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Olsen as applied to claim 1 in above paragraph 4, and further in view of Spafford et al (US Pat. No. 5,173,186).

Olsen teaches a fluid filter as described in above paragraph 3. Claim 8 essentially differs from the filter of Olsen in reciting a filter module comprising a plurality of the claimed non-woven filter in claim 1. Spafford et al teach a filter module comprising a housing containing a plurality of filter cartridges having a tubular pleated non-woven filter (26) with a porous tubular core (28) placed within the filter (26) (see figures 1-3; col. 2, lines 45-50; col. 3, lines 19-64). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

Application/Control Number: 10/689,418

Art Unit: 1723

include a plurality of non-woven filter of Olsen in a housing to increase the filtering capacity of

Page 5

fluid to be filtered by increased number of filters.

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. US Patents in PTO-892 teach various nonwoven filter or membrane filter with

supporting tubular perforated core.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to John Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-1142. The

examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Wanda Walker, can be reached on (571) 272-1151. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1723

J. Kim

December 14, 2005